September 29, 2003

David Martinez TWCC Medical Dispute Resolution

MS-48 7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 Austin, TX 78744-1609	
MDR Tracking #: IRO #:	M2-03-1739-01 5251
Organization. The Texas Worker's Comp	tment of Insurance as an Independent Review ensation Commission has assigned this case to for WCC Rule 133.308 which allows for medical dispute
has performed an independent review of the proposed care to determine if the adverse determination was appropriate. In performing this review, all relevant medical records and documentation utilized to make the adverse determination, along with any documentation and written information submitted, was reviewed.	
reviewed by a licensed Medical Doctor wi Surgery. The reviewer is on the TWCC Approfessional has signed a certification state between the reviewer and any of the treating providers who reviewed the case for a determination. In addition, the reviewer has certification and party to the dispute.	fied that the review was performed without bias for or
is a 48-year-old nurses aid who sustain working on The patient noted diffuse point tenderness present in the posterior shormal and did not demonstrate any rotate	NICAL HISTORY ned a sprain injury to her right shoulder while she was pain in her shoulder with some evidence of trigger houlder. She had an MRI of the shoulder that was or cuff tear. She also had a cervical spine MRI to rule This was basically normal also. She was felt to be ving the shoulder.
medicine. She was also given a home exer receive several trigger point injections by	d anti-inflammatory medication along with some pain reise program to do. She has improved slowly and did her treating doctor, Her treating doctor has also use an RS-4i sequential electrical muscle stimulator for
REQU The purchase of an interferential muscle st	JESTED SERVICE timulator is requested for this patient.

 $\frac{\text{DECISION}}{\text{The reviewer agrees with the prior adverse determination.}}$

BASIS FOR THE DECISION

The medical records and the medical literature do not contain any evidence that prolonged use of the electric stimulator will cause recovery of her painful shoulder any quicker than is she were not using it at all. The reviewer does not find support in the medical literature for the use of the electrical muscle stimulator to increase healing or to shorten the recovery time for myofascail pain syndrome.

Sincerely, YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A HEARING	
is forwarding by mail and, in the case of time sensitive matters by facsimile, a copy of this finding to the treating doctor, payor and/or URA, patient and the TWCC.	
As an officer of, I certify that there is no known conflict between the reviewer, and/or any officer/employee of the IRO with any person or entity that is a party to the dispute.	
has performed an independent review solely to determine the medical necessity of the health services that are the subject of the review has made no determinations regarding benefits available under the injured employee's policy.	

diamete may diagana with all an part of the decision and has a ri

Either party to medical dispute may disagree with all or part of the decision and has a right to request a hearing.

If disputing a spinal surgery prospective decision, a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within 10 (ten) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5(c)).

If disputing other prospective medical necessity (preauthorization) decisions, a request for a hearing must be in writing, and it must be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) calendar days of your receipt of this decision (28 Tex. Admin. Code 142.5(c)).

This decision is deemed received by you 5 (five) days after it was mailed or the date of fax (28 Tex. Admin. Code 102.5(d)). A request for a hearing and a **copy of this decision** must be sent to:

Chief Clerk of Proceedings / Appeals Clerk P.O. Box 17787 Austin, Texas 78744 Fax: 512-804-4011

The party appealing this decision shall deliver a copy of its written request for a hearing to other party involved in this dispute.

I hereby certify, in accordance with TWCC Rule 102.4 (h), that a copy of this Independent Review Organization decision was sent to the carrier, requestor, claimant (and/or the claimant's representative) and the TWCC via facsimile, U.S. Postal Service or both on this 29th day of September 2003.